

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

ASHH, INC. d/b/a OOZE  
WHOLESALE,  
Plaintiff,

Case No. 19-13223

v.

Honorable Nancy G. Edmunds

ALL ABOUT IT, LLC,  
Defendant.

---

ALL ABOUT IT, LLC,  
Third-Party Plaintiff

v.

BMZ PARTNERSHIP, LLC, d/b/a  
HEADY HARVEST and d/b/a AER  
PREMIUM ELECTRONIC  
CIGARETTES,

Third-Party Defendant

---

**ORDER REGARDING THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT'S  
MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY [40]**

Pending before the Court is Third-Party Defendant BMZ Partnership, LLC's ("BMZ") motion to stay discovery. (ECF No. 40.) In its motion to stay, BMZ asks the Court to enter a protective order staying discovery until the Court enters its ruling on BMZ's motion to dismiss Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff All About It, LLC's third-party complaint. The Court has since denied BMZ's motion to dismiss. (See ECF No. 42.) The motion is therefore **DENIED AS MOOT**.

In addition to requesting a stay of discovery, BMZ also requests that its deadline to respond to Plaintiff's discovery requests be extended to thirty days after BMZ files its

answer. BMZ indicates that Plaintiff is not opposed to this relief. For this reason, and having considered the record in this matter in its entirety, the Court finds that BMZ's request should be **GRANTED**. It is therefore **ORDERED** that BMZ's deadline to respond to Plaintiff's discovery requests is extended to thirty days from the date BMZ files its answer in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(4).

**SO ORDERED.**

s/Nancy G. Edmunds  
Nancy G. Edmunds  
United States District Judge

Dated: July 29, 2020

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record on July 29, 2020, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/Lisa Bartlett  
Case Manager